Sub-Indicator: *Diporeia*

Overall Assessment

Status: Poor

Trends:

10-Year Trend: Unchanging

Long-term Trend (1972-2023): Deteriorating

Rationale: *Diporeia* spp. was once the dominant benthic invertebrate in the Great Lakes, but their populations experienced rapid declines starting in the 1990s. Since then, their declines have continued and densities remain low in Lakes Michigan, Huron, and Ontario (Figure 1). Abundances in Lake Superior are variable over time, with an overall declining trend in the nearshore, but populations remain at good levels in the offshore and lake-wide. *Diporeia* is currently extremely rare in Lake Erie and is possibly close to extirpation. In all the lakes where *Diporeia* has declined, lower abundances first became apparent a few years after dreissenid mussels became established, though a causal link between *Dreissena* and the declines in *Diporeia* has been difficult to establish. The low densities and number of absences in the survey records indicate that *Diporeia* populations persist in several areas at or below detection limits. The data presented here are primarily based on lake-wide surveys conducted over time by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO). Since 2002, comprehensive lake-wide benthic surveys have been a part of the Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative (CSMI), which occurs every 5 years for each lake on a rotating cycle. EPA also samples a smaller subset of stations in all five Great Lakes on an annual basis (Figure 1; USEPA GLNPO 2024). Canadian data for the nearshore zone and connecting channels are from the Canadian Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Nearshore Great Lakes Monitoring Network from 1992-2022 (https://data.ontario.ca/dataset/benthic-invertebrate-community-great-lakes-nearshore-areas).

Lake-by-Lake Assessment

Lake Superior

Status: Good

10-Year Trend (2011-2021): Unchanging

Long-term Trend (1973-2021): Unchanging

Rationale: *Diporeia* are consistently found throughout Lake Superior and remain the dominant benthic organism (Mehler et al. 2018; Burlakova et al. 2023). Long-term monitoring and studies of distribution patterns indicate substantial temporal variability, with a trend of decreasing density at some U.S. nearshore stations between 1994-2022 (Figure 2). The density in 2022 (571/m^2) is much lower than the peak values reported in 1994 and 2003 (>1900/m^2; Burlakova et al. 2023). Seven of the 19 historically sampled stations (37%) had densities below 220/m^2, with two of these stations having no *Diporeia*. However, the population is not considered to be Deteriorating despite the observed declines because the mean densities of *Diporeia* both nearshore (defined in this case as ≤100 m depth) and offshore remain above the levels recommended by the 1978 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement (GLWQA; see Status Assessment Definitions below). The observed changes in the lake-wide CSMI survey between 2006, 2011, 2016, and 2022 may be within the range of natural population variability for *Diporeia*, but more monitoring of the population is needed (Scharold and Corry 2021; Burlakova et al. 2023).

Annual EPA GLNPO surveys at 9-11 stations show variability over time, but no sign of a downward trend (Figure 1). The next lake-wide CSMI benthic survey is scheduled for 2026. Canadian nearshore monitoring in 1992, 1999, 2005, 2011, and 2019 revealed consistently high *Diporeia* densities (ranging between 950-1885/m^2; 2019 density = 1316/m^2; MECP), which are comparable to offshore densities in 1994-2003 (Figure 2).

Lake Michigan

Status: Poor

10-Year Trend (2011-2021): Deteriorating

Long-term Trend (1981-2021): Deteriorating

Rationale: *Diporeia* abundances remain low in Lake Michigan. A lake-wide CSMI survey in 2021 indicated that *Diporeia* is still extremely rare at depths 31-90 m over the entire lake, where density was <1/m^2 (Figure 3, upper panel; Burlakova and Karatayev 2023). At depths >90 m, mean density (72/m^2) was <15% of the density in 2010 and 2015 and <5% of pre-2005 levels (Figure 3, lower panel). *Diporeia* were found at just 7% of main basin stations (seven out of 98) in 2021, with all detections located in the central and northern regions (Figure 4). This is a decline from the 18% (24 out of 137 stations) with occurrences in 2010 (primarily in the southern and central regions). Annual NOAA surveys conducted between 2010-2023 in the southern region of Lake Michigan reveal *Diporeia* densities to be extremely rare at depths <90 m (e.g. one individual found out of 36 stations) and low and variable at depths >90 m (Figure 5; Nalepa et al. 2014, 2020; Elgin unpub. data). In 2019, 2020, and 2021, no *Diporeia* were collected and only a few individuals were found in 2022 and 2023. Annual EPA GLNPO surveys at 11-16 stations echo the trend of rapid declines before 2005, followed by consistently low densities in the years since (Figure 1). The next lake-wide CSMI benthic survey is scheduled for 2025.

Lake Huron (including St. Marys River)

Status: Poor

10-Year Trend (2012-2022): Deteriorating

Long-term Trend (1972-2022): Deteriorating

Rationale: *Diporeia* populations remain low in Lake Huron. The 2022 lake-wide CSMI survey showed dramatic reductions compared to the early 2000s and continued declines since 2012 (Figure 3). Average density for the main basin in 2022 was 8/m^2 at depths 31-90 m (<10% of the 2012 density of 109/m^2 in 2012) and 59/m^2 at depths >90 m (~20% of the 2012 density of 274/m^2 in 2012; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024). Since 2012, the proportion of main basin stations where *Diporeia* was found declined from 16% (13 out of 83) to 10% (seven out of 70). In 2022, the highest density of *Diporeia* was found in the North Channel (155/m^2, a decline from the 2012 density of 503/m^2) and only one individual was found in Georgian Bay, at an 89-m station (Karatayev and Burlakova 2024). Annual EPA GLNPO surveys at 11 stations also show declines before 2005 followed by consistently low densities in all years that follow (Figure 1). Canadian nearshore monitoring within the main basin of Lake Huron last reported *Diporeia* in 2002 (630/m^2), with no observations since in 2009, 2015, or 2022 (MECP). In the nearshore of Georgian Bay, 2022 *Diporeia* density averaged 108/m^2, consistent with the low-moderate density trend observed here since 1996 (ranging between 34-395/m^2; MECP). There have been declines in the nearshore of North Channel from 2563/m^2 in 1996 to 4/m^2 in 2011 and 2019, and no *Diporeia* in the St. Marys River with the exception of a few specimens collected at one site in 1999 (MECP). The next lake-wide CSMI benthic survey is scheduled for 2027.

Lake Erie (including St. Clair-Detroit River Ecosystem)

Status: Poor

10-Year Trend (2009-2019): Unchanging

Long-term Trend (1978-2019): Deteriorating

Rationale: Because of shallow, warm waters, *Diporeia* are naturally not present in the western basin and most of the central basin. *Diporeia* declined in the eastern basin beginning in the early 1990s (Dermott and Kerec 1997) and have not been found in there since 1998 according to one study (Barbiero et al. 2011). Canadian nearshore monitoring found a single specimen in 2010 in north central Lake Erie, but none before or since that time and no *Diporeia* have been found in the St. Clair River, Lake St. Clair, or the Detroit River (MECP). This trend is confirmed by no *Diporeia* found in the 2014 (Burlakova et al., 2017; Schloesser et al., 2017) or 2019 (Karatayev et al. 2021a, Burlakova et al. 2024) lake-wide surveys. Similarly, no *Diporeia* have been found between 1998-2023 at the 7-10 annual EPA GLNPO stations (Figure 1). The most recent lake-wide CSMI benthic survey was conducted in 2024. Sample and data processing are in progress.

Lake Ontario (including Niagara River and International section of the St. Lawrence River)

Status: Poor

10-Year Trend (2008-2018): Unchanging

Long-term Trend (1994-2018): Deteriorating

Rationale: *Diporeia* abundances remain low in Lake Ontario (Figures 1 and 3). The 2013 and 2018 lake-wide surveys in Lake Ontario produced only a single individual in each year (found at site depths >150 m) out of the 45-55 sites sampled (Nalepa and Baldridge 2016, Karatayev et al. 2021). Canadian nearshore surveys reported low densities of *Diporeia* (<30/m^2) at four sites across Lake Ontario in 1994, a few individuals at one site in 1997, and no *Diporeia* since then as of 2018 (MECP). Further, no *Diporeia* have been found in nearshore surveys of Hamilton Harbour, Bay of Quinte, or the St. Lawrence River (MECP). Annual EPA GLNPO monitoring at 9-10 stations (1998-2023) show that *Diporeia* began to decline in the early 2000's and densities have remained <50/m^2 since 2009 (Figure 1). Between 2018-2022, *Diporeia* were consistently found at a 193m station in central Lake Ontario (density = 6-376/m^2) and occasionally at other >50 m depth stations at densities <13/m^2. However, in 2023, no *Diporeia* were found. The most recent lake-wide CSMI benthic survey was conducted in 2023. The final dataset is in preparation and reveals that no Diporeia were found in the 2023 CSMI survey (Burlakova, unpub. data).

Status Assessment Definitions

Good: The following recommendation applies to Lake Superior only. Densities of *Diporeia* remain above 220-320/m^2 in nearshore waters (<100 m) and 30-160/m^2 in offshore waters (>100 m; 1978 GLWQA recommendations for Lake Superior; The Government of Canada and the Government of the United States of America 1978) and are found at sites well-distributed throughout the lake. For the other Great Lakes, the criteria are for *Diporeia* to be at moderate to high densities and also be the dominant non-dreissenid benthic organism.

Fair: Lake Superior: Densities of *Diporeia* remain above the GLWQA recommendations, but are only found at a few locations. Other Lakes: *Diporeia* are found in low to moderate densities.

Poor: Lake Superior: Densities of *Diporeia* are below the GLWQA recommendations. Other Lakes: *Diporeia* are found in low densities or are absent.

Undetermined: Data are not available or are insufficient to assess condition of the ecosystem components.

Trend Assessment Definitions

Target values are provided to evaluate abundances on a historic basis. Trends over time provide a means to assess indicator direction. On a more direct basis, if target values are met, the system can be assumed to be healthy; if the values are not met there is health impairment. Causative agents of impairment are not addressed by the sub-indicator.

Improving: Increase in *Diporeia* densities and/or the number of stations with *Diporeia.*

Unchanging: Minor changes in *Diporeia* densities and the number of stations that have *Diporeia.*

No Trend: Data are available for a 10-year or longer period but the trend is not statistically Improving or Deteriorating due to high variability in the data.

Deteriorating: Decrease in *Diporeia* densities and/or the number of stations with *Diporeia.*

Undetermined: Sufficient data are not available to report on a trend.

Endpoints and/or Targets

In Lake Superior, *Diporeia* should be maintained throughout the lake at abundances of >220-320/m^2 at depths <100 m and >30-160/m^2 at depths >100 m as per the 1978 GLWQA. In the other Great Lakes, *Diporeia* should be the dominant non-dreissenid benthic organism and to be found at a majority of offshore locations.

Sub-Indicator Purpose

The purpose of this sub-indicator is to show the status and trends in *Diporeia* populations, and to infer the basic structure of cold-water benthic communities and the general health of the Great Lakes ecosystem.

Ecosystem Objective

The cold, deep-water regions of the Great Lakes should be maintained as a balanced, stable, and productive oligotrophic ecosystem with *Diporeia* as one of the key organisms in the food chain.

This sub-indicator best supports work towards General Objective #5 of the 2012 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement (GLWQA) which states that the Waters of the Great Lakes should “support healthy and productive wetlands and other habitats to sustain resilient populations of native species.”

Methods

This sub-indicator reports the density (number per square metre) of *Diporeia* in the Great Lakes. The recent lake-wide trends presented here are based on extensive, lake-wide surveys (nearshore and offshore) that are conducted

in each of the lakes every 5 years as a part of the CSMI cycle. Additional lake-wide surveys conducted by EPA, NOAA, and DFO provide longer frames of reference for *Diporeia* population dynamics. Also presented are data collected during the annual NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory benthic survey of the southern basin of Lake Michigan. Starting with the 2022 SOGL reporting cycle, we added data from the MECP Nearshore Great Lakes Monitoring Network, which includes benthic data collected from the 1990s – 2022 in nearshore regions and connecting waterways in Canadian waters across the Great Lakes basin.

The U.S. EPA Great Lakes National Program Office (GLNPO) long-term monitoring program assesses abundances of *Diporeia* (and other benthos) in offshore regions of each of the lakes on an annual basis. New to this 2025 sub-indicator is the 1998-2023 annual GLNPO data (Figure 1), which provide additional support for the general trends from the CSMI years, but with greater temporal resolution.

Discussion

This glacial-marine relic was once the most abundant benthic organism in cold waters greater than 30 m deep in each of the lakes. It was present, although less abundant in nearshore regions of the open lake basins, but it was naturally absent from shallow, warm bays, basins, and river mouths. *Diporeia* occurs in the upper few centimetres of bottom sediment and feed on algal material that freshly settles to the bottom from the water column (i.e., mostly diatoms). In turn, it is fed upon by most species of Great Lakes fish; including many forage fish species that themselves serve as prey for the larger piscivores such as trout and salmon. For example, sculpin feed almost exclusively upon *Diporeia*, and sculpin are eaten by lake trout. Also, lake whitefish, an important commercial species, feeds heavily on *Diporeia*. Thus, *Diporeia* was an important pathway by which energy was cycled through the ecosystem, and a key component in the food web of offshore regions.

To some degree, *Diporeia* abundances are affected by the amount of phytoplankton food settling to the bottom, and population trends may reflect the food conditions (Dermott 2001). Abundances can also vary somewhat relative to shifts in predation pressure from changing fish populations (Dermott 2001). In nearshore regions, this species is sensitive to local sources of pollution (Gossiaux et al. 1993; Maity et al. 2013), but because of varying conditions such as temperature fluctuations, substrate heterogeneity, and wave-induced turbulence, it can be difficult to assess population trends in this region.

Nearshore/offshore comparisons reveal that MECP nearshore data trends tend to match the offshore trends reported by the CSMI lake-wide surveys for most waterbodies (e.g., Lake Erie, Lake Huron main basin, Lake Ontario, and Lake Superior); however, the patterns observed in the two datasets diverge in North Channel and Georgian Bay. This could be due to the *Diporeia* populations in these regions exhibiting high levels of spatial and temporal variation. Also, the two surveys are often not conducted in the same years and have different sampling locations and numbers of stations. Lake Superior is the only lake to exhibit consistently higher *Diporeia* densities in the nearshore (here, Canadian and U.S. waters <100 m deep) than in the offshore (waters >100 m deep) (MECP; Mehler et al. 2018).

Diporeia populations have undergone dramatic declines with no signs of recovery in all the lakes except Lake Superior (Figures 1, 2 and 3). Based on the most recent surveys, *Diporeia* is present but continues to decline in Lakes Michigan and Huron, while it is nearing extirpation in Lake Erie and possibly Lake Ontario as well. The population in Lake Superior is highly variable and had exhibited declines in the nearshore zone since 1994 and 2003 (Figure 2). However, *Diporeia* is still found distributed throughout the lake, and densities remain relatively high. Declines in *Diporeia* within all lower Great Lakes were observed after zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) or quagga mussel (*Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*) first became established (Dermott et al. 2005). It is worth

noting that in some regions of Lake Ontario, *Diporeia* exhibited high population variability in the 1980s prior to the introduction of dreissenid mussels, as attributed to fish predation and/or reductions in productivity (Dermott 2001). Reasons for the negative response of *Diporeia* to these mussel species are not entirely clear and several hypotheses have been proposed (Watkins et al. 2007). One hypothesis is that dreissenid mussels are out-competing *Diporeia* for available food. That is, large mussel populations filter food material before it reaches the bottom, thereby decreasing amounts available to *Diporeia. Diporeia* declines in the early 1990s in nearshore Lake Ontario appear to correspond with grazing effects from mussels, but later declines in the offshore do not (Watkins et al. 2013). Edlund et al. (2021) suggested that reductions in preferred, more nutritious diatom prey in Lake Michigan exacerbated *Diporeia* declines. However, the reason for widespread reductions in *Diporeia* populations is more complex than a simple decline in food because *Diporeia* have completely disappeared from areas where food is still settling to the bottom and where there are no local populations of mussels. Also, individual *Diporeia* show no signs of starvation before or during population declines. Further, *Diporeia* and *Dreissena* coexist in some lakes outside of the Great Lakes (i.e., Finger Lakes in New York). Rhude and Sterner (2023) suggest that the disruption of *Diporeia's* preferred substrate (i.e., a mix of fine sand and silt) by dreissenid mussels is a mechanism worth further exploration. Some empirical (Cave and Strychar 2015) and modeling (McKenna et al. 2017) studies suggest that the decline in *Diporeia* could be related to disease/parasites, but further work is needed in this area.

Linkages

Linkages of this sub-indicator to other sub-indicators include:

- Benthos (open water) – *Diporeia* is the dominant benthic species in Lake Superior deep-water habitats, where dreissenid mussels are absent. In the other Great Lakes, oligochaetes are now the most abundant non-dreissenid taxa.
- Prey fish – *Diporeia* is an important food source for several prey species. When abundant, *Diporeia* served as an important pathway to transfer energy from primary producers to higher trophic levels.
- Phytoplankton -The primary food source for *Diporeia* is phytoplankton that settles to the bottom of the lake.
- Impacts of Aquatic Invasive Species and Dreissenid Mussels – The rapid decline of *Diporeia* has coincided with the proliferation of invasive dreissenid mussels in multiple lakes (see Ecological Condition section above for more details).
- Toxic Chemicals in Sediment – *Diporeia* are a pollution-sensitive species and are absent or occur in low numbers in areas with elevated levels of contaminants (Nalepa and Thomas 1976).

This sub-indicator also links directly to the other sub-indicators in the Habitat and Species indicator, particularly lake trout, as lake trout are among the fish species that are energetically linked to *Diporeia*. Young lake trout feed on *Diporeia* directly, while adult lake trout feed on sculpin, and sculpin feed heavily on *Diporeia* (Hudson et al. 1995). Lake trout are a top predator in the deep-water habitat, and therefore assessments of both *Diporeia* and lake trout provide an evaluation of lower and upper trophic levels in the cold, deep-water habitat.

Diporeia may face mild to moderate impacts of changing physical conditions such as warming temperatures . Being a cold-water stenotherm, *Diporeia* could potentially decline in the nearshore zones of Lake Superior as water temperatures increase, but a substantial cold-water refuge will remain in deeper waters. Disruptions to preferred diatom food sources is another potential way in which *Diporeia* could be negatively affected.

Assessing Data Quality

Data Characteristics	Agree	Neutral or Unknown	Disagree	Not Applicable
Data are documented, validated, or quality-assured by a recognized agency or organization	X			
Data are from a known, reliable and respected generator of data and are traceable to original sources	X			
Geographic coverage and scale of data are appropriate to the Great Lakes Basin	X			
Data obtained from sources within the U.S. are comparable to those from Canada	X			
Uncertainty and variability in the data are documented and within acceptable limits for this sub-indicator report	X			

Data used in assessment are openly available and accessible	Yes	The following data sources are publicly available: **Lake Superior CSMI Data**: 2016, 2022: EPA Data Request **Lake Michigan CSMI Data**: 1994-2010: NOAA GLERL Technical Memo 164 2015: NOAA GLERL Technical Memo 175 2021: EPA Data Request **Lake Huron CSMI Data:** 1972, 2000-2003**:** NOAA GLERL Technical Memo 140 2006-2012: NOAA GLERL Technical Memo 172 2017, 2022: EPA Data Request **Lake Erie CSMI (and other) Data:** 1930-2019: Burlakova et al. 2024. *Ecology* Data Paper, a compilation of Canadian and U.S. data. https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.4301 **Lake Ontario CSMI (and other) Data:** 1964-2018: Burlakova et al. 2021. *Ecology* Data Paper, a compilation of Canadian and U.S. data. https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.3528 **USEPA GLNPO Long Term Monitoring Data:** "EPA_GLBMP_Benthic Macroinvert Data 1998-2023_20240627_V2.xlsx" available from https://cdx.epa.gov/

		MECP Canadian Nearshore Data: https://data.ontario.ca/dataset/benthic-invertebrate-community-great-lakes-nearshore-areas

Notes on Accessing Data

EPA GLNPO data are accessible through the Great Lakes Environmental Database (GLENDA). GLENDA is available to the public on the EPA Central Data Exchange (CDX; https://cdx.epa.gov). A CDX account is required, which anyone may create. GLENDA offers "Ready to Download Data Files" prepared by GLNPO or a "Query Data" interface that allows users to select from predefined parameters to create a customized query.

CSMI Data for 2016-2022 are available by request from EPA GLNPO. Contact Scofield.Anne@epa.gov for the Request Form and further information.

NOAA GLERL Technical Memos are publicly available online and contain downloadable Excel data files. For Technical Memos 172 and 175, the data files are also archived with the NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (NCEI).

- Technical Memo 140 (Lake Huron 1972, 2000, 2003): https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-140/tm-140.pdf.
- Technical Memo 164 (Lake Michigan 1994-2010): https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-164/tm-164.pdf
- Technical Memo 172 (Lake Huron 2006-2012): https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-172/tm-172.pdf. Associated data also archived with NCEI- Accession Number 0185753, https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/0185753.
- Technical Memo 175 (Lake Michigan 2015): https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-175/tm-175.pdf, and doi:10.25923/g0d3-3v41. Associated data also archived at with NCEI- Accession Number 0209222, https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/archive/accession/0209222.

MECP data are available as a direct download from https://data.ontario.ca/dataset/benthic-invertebrate-community-great-lakes-nearshore-areas.

Data Limitations

This sub-indicator is of greatest value in assessing ecosystem health in the cold, open-water portions of the Great Lakes. It may also be useful when assessing long term trends within a specific lake region in the nearshore (< 30 m), but its value is questionable if widely applied to nearshore areas over all the lakes. Because this sub-indicator consists of only one taxon, it may not reliably diagnose causes of degraded ecosystem health. A number of lake-wide surveys and assessments of benthic invertebrate communities have been made over the past several decades in the Great Lakes and the current status of *Diporeia* populations is generally known, and an understanding of the

changes related to the dreissenid mussel invasion is emerging. The available U.S. and Canadian data sources are comparable over time because both countries have performed lake-wide benthic surveys. In more recent years, the data sources are more complementary than comparable because they cover different depth zones and sampling locations. The benthic monitoring program conducted by MECP primarily covers nearshore areas (typically <3 km from shore) and DFO no longer conducts long-term offshore monitoring. However, the CSMI lake-wide benthic surveys include data from both U.S. and Canadian waters, with site depths ranging from ~10 m to >200 m, depending on the lake.

Additional Information

The historical dominance of *Diporeia* in cold, deep-water habitats in all of the Great Lakes provides a good basis for a basin-wide evaluation of ecosystem health.

The continuing decline of *Diporeia* has strong implications to the Great Lakes food web. As noted, many fish species rely on *Diporeia* as a major prey item, and the loss of *Diporeia* has impacted many of these species. Fish responses include changes in diet, movement to areas with more food, or a reduction in weight or energy content. Implications to fish populations include changes in distribution, abundance, growth, recruitment, and condition. Recent evidence suggests that fish are already being affected. Studies have shown that populations of lake whitefish, an important commercial species, have been affected, as well as fish species that serve as prey for salmon and lake trout such as alewife, sculpin, and bloater (Owens and Dittman 2003).

Because of the rapid rate at which *Diporeia* has declined in many areas, and its significance to the food web, documenting trends and reporting data needs to be completed in a timely manner. The population decline has a defined natural pattern, and studies of food web impacts should be spatially well coordinated. Also, studies to define the cause of the negative response of *Diporeia* to *Dreissena* should continue and build upon existing information. Potential areas of study are physiological and biochemical responses of *Diporeia* to *Dreissena*, and influence of potential pathogens, including bacteria and viruses. With a better understanding of why *Diporeia* populations have declined, one can better assess the potential for population recovery if dreissenid populations significantly decline.

Methods for estimating abundances of *Diporeia* are generally similar across the Great Lakes. Samples of bottom substrates are collected with a Ponar grab and contents are washed through a screen (or net mesh) of 0.5-mm openings (0.6-mm for the MECP Nearshore surveys). All *Diporeia* retained on the screen are immediately preserved, and later counted and identified. Densities are reported as numbers

per square metre. Nalepa et al. (2009) provides additional details on sampling methods and laboratory analysis, which are largely consistent with the US EPA Standard Operating Procedure for Benthic Invertebrate Field Sampling Procedure (SOP LG406, Revision 14, January 2021), and the US EPA Standard Operating Procedure for Benthic Invertebrate Laboratory Analysis (SOP LG407, Revision 10, May 2023). Given the decline and disappearance of *Diporeia* in nearshore regions, and very low abundances of *Diporeia* in offshore regions in each of the lakes except Lake Superior, the present monitoring programs are adequate to detect population changes.

Report Authors

A. K. Elgin, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL), Lake Michigan Field Station, Muskegon, MI. ashley.elgin@noaa.gov

L. E. Burlakova and A.Y. Karatayev, Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State University, Buffalo, NY. burlakle@buffalostate.edu, karataay@buffalostate.edu

Report Contributors

N. Benoit, T. Howell, R. Sorichetti, and M. Thorburn, Ontario Ministry of the Environment Conservation and Parks, Etobicoke, ON (contact for access to or questions about the Nearshore Great Lakes Monitoring Network dataset: https://data.ontario.ca/dataset/benthic-invertebrate-community-great-lakes-nearshore-areas)

S. E. Daniel, Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State University, Buffalo, NY

P. W. Glyshaw, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory (GLERL), Ann Arbor, MI

References

Auer, M. T., N. A. Auer, N. R. Urban, and T. Auer. 2013. Distribution of the amphipod *Diporeia* in Lake Superior: the ring of fire. Journal of Great Lakes Research 39:33-46.

Barbiero, R. P., K. Schmude, B. M. Lesht, C. M. Riseng, J. Glenn, G. J. Warren, and M. L. Tuchman. 2011. Trends in *Diporeia* populations across the Great Lakes., 1997-2009. Journal of Great Lakes Research 37: 9-17.

Birkett, K., S. J. Lozano, and L. G. Rudstam. 2015. Long-term trends in Lake Ontario's benthic macroinvertebrate community from 1994-2008. Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management Society 18: 76-88.

Burlakova, L. E., A. Y. Karatayev, K. Mehler, and S. Daniel. 2017. Lake Erie Survey within Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative 2014. Chapter 1. In: Lake Erie and Lake Michigan Benthos: Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative. Final Report. USGS-GLRI G14AC00263. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: http://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/files/uploads/Documents/Publications/LakeErieandMichiganBenthos_CSMI2014-2015_FinalReport.pdf

Burlakova, L.E., A. Y. Karatayev, A. R. Hrycik, S. E. Daniel, K. Mehler, L. G. Rudstam, J. M. Watkins, R. Dermott, J. Scharold, A. K. Elgin, T. Nalepa, E. Hinchey, and S. J. Lozano. 2021. Density data for benthic invertebrate assemblages of Lake Ontario from 1964 to 2018. Ecology e03528 https://esajournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ecy.3528

Burlakova, L. E., A. Y. Karatayev, and S. E. Daniel. 2023. Lake Superior Benthos Survey Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative 2022. Technical Report. USEPA-GLRI GL00E02259. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: https://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/glc/files/documents/LakeSuperiorBenthosSurveyCSMI2022FinalReport.pdf

Burlakova, L. E. and A. Y. Karatayev. 2023. Lake Michigan Benthos Survey Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative 2021. Technical Report. USEPA-GLRI GL00E02254. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State University, Buffalo, NY. Available at: https://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/glc/files/documents/LakeMichiganBenthosSurveyCSMI2021FinalReport.pdf

Burlakova, L. E., A. Y. Karatayev, A. R. Hrycik, S. E. Daniel, K. Mehler, E. K. Hinchey, R. Dermott, R. Griffiths, and L. E. Denecke. 2024. Density data for Lake Erie benthic invertebrate assemblages from 1930 to 2019. Ecology 105(5): e4301. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.4301.

Cave, C. S., and K. B. Strychar. 2015. Decline of *Diporeia* in Lake Michigan: Was disease associated with invasive species the primary factor? International Journal of Biology 7: 93-99.

Dermott, R., 2001. Sudden disappearance of the amphipod *Diporeia* from eastern Lake Ontario, 1993–1995. Journal of Great Lakes Research 27(4): 423-433.

Dermott, R., and D. Kerec. 1997. Changes to the deepwater benthos of eastern Lake Erie since the invasion of *Dreissena*: 1979-1993. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 54:922–930.

Dermott, R., and M. Geminiuc. 2003. Changes in the benthic fauna of Lake Ontario 1990–1995, with local trends after 1981. In State of Lake Ontario (SOLO)—Past, Present, and Future, M. Munawar, ed., pp. 323-345. Leiden, The Netherlands: Ecovision World Monograph Series, Backhuys Publishers

Dermott, R., Munawar, M., Bonnell, R., Carou, S., Niblock, H., Nalepa, T.F. and Messick, G., 2005. Preliminary investigations for causes of the disappearance of *Diporeia* spp. from Lake Ontario. In: Proceedings of a workshop on the dynamics of lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) and the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. in the Great Lakes. Eds. Mohr, L.C., Nalepa, T.F. Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep. 66. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Preliminary-Investigations-for-Causes-of-of-spp.-Dermott-Munawar/7d5f040c7d42a6a238187d1eb21406312d34487c

Edlund, M. B., D. J. Jude and T. F. Nalepa. 2021. Diets of the benthic amphipod *Diporeia* in southern Lake Michigan before and after the dreissenid invasion. Journal of Great Lakes Research 47: 447-462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2021.01.018

Gossiaux, D. C., P. F. Landrum and V. N. Tsymbal, V.N. 1993. A survey of Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron, sediments using two bioassays with the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. Journal of Great Lakes Research 19: 322-332.

The Government of Canada and the Government of the United States of America. 1978. Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1978. Agreement, with annexes and terms of reference, between the United States of America and Canada signed at Ottawa November 22, 1978. International Joint Commission, Windsor, Ontario.

Hudson, P. L., J. F. Savino, and C. R. Bronte. 1995. Predator-prey relations and competition for food between age-0 lake trout and slimy sculpins in the Apostle Island region of Lake Superior. Journal of Great Lakes Research 21: 445-457.

Karatayev, A. Y., L. E. Burlakova, K. Mehler, S. E. Daniel, A. K. Elgin, and T. F. Nalepa. 2020. Lake Huron Benthos Survey Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative 2017. Technical Report. USEPA-GLRI GL00E02254. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: https://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/glc/files/documents/LakeHuronBenthosSurveyCSMI2017FinalReport.pdf

Karatayev, A.Y., L. E. Burlakova, A.R. Hrycik, K. Mehler, and S.E. Daniel. 2021a. Lake Erie Benthos Survey Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative 2019. Technical Report. USEPA-GLRI GL00E02254. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: https://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/files/uploads/Documents/Publications/LakeErieBenthosSurveyCSMI2019FinalReport.pdf

Karatayev, A. Y., L. E. Burlakova, K. Mehler, S. E. Daniel, and A. R. Hrycik. 2021b. Lake Ontario Benthos Survey Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative 2018. Technical Report. USEPA-GLRI GL00E02254. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: https://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/files/uploads/Documents/Publications/LakeOntarioBenthosSurveyCSMI2018FinalReport.pdf

Karatayev, A. Y. and L. E. Burlakova. 2024. *Dreissena* in large lakes: long-term population dynamics and population assessment using conventional methods and videography. Hydrobiologia. doi:10.1007/s10750-024-05560-z.

Lozano, S. J., J. V. Scharold, T. F. Nalepa. 2001. Recent declines in benthic macroinvertebrate densities in Lake Ontario. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 58:518-529.

Maity, S., A. Jannasch, J. Adamec, J. M. Watkins, T. Nalepa, T. O. Höök, and M. S. Sepúlveda. 2013. Elucidating causes of *Diporeia* decline in the Great Lakes via metabolomics: Physiological responses after exposure to different stressors. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology. 86: 213-223.

McKenna, J. E., M. Chalupnicki, D. Dittman, and J. M. Watkins. 2017. Simulation of rapid ecological change in Lake Ontario. Journal of Great Lakes Research 43:871–889.

Mehler, K., L. E. Burlakova, A. Y. Karatayev, and J. Scharold. 2018. Major Findings from the CSMI Benthic Macroinvertebrate Survey in Lake Superior in 2016 with an Emphasis on Temporal Trends. Lake Superior Benthos: Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative, Final Report. USGS-GLRI G14AC00263. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: http://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/files/uploads/Documents/Publications/LakeSuperiorBenthos_CSMI2016_FinalReport.pdf

Nalepa, T. F., and N. A. Thomas. 1976. Distribution of macrobenthic species in Lake Ontario in relation to sources of pollution and sediment parameters. Journal of Great Lakes Research 2: 150-163.

Nalepa, T. F., D. L. Fanslow, S. A. Pothoven, A. J. Foley III, G. A. Lang, S. C. Mozley, and M. W. Winnell. 2007. K. Abundance and distribution of benthic macroinvertebrate populations in Lake Huron in 1972 and 2000-2003. NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-140. Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI. https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-140/tm-140.pdf

Nalepa, T. F., D. F. Fanslow, and G. A. Lang. 2009. Transformation of the offshore benthic community in Lake Michigan: recent shift from the native amphipod *Diporeia spp*. to the invasive mussel *Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*. Freshwater Biology 54:466-479.

Nalepa, T. F., D. L. Fanslow, G. A. Lang, K. Mabrey, and M. Rowe. 2014. Lake-wide benthic surveys in Lake Michigan in 1994-95, 2000, 2005, and 2010: abundances of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. and abundances and biomass of the mussels *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*. NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-164. Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI. https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-164/tm-164.pdf

Nalepa, T. F., C. M. Riseng, A. K. Elgin, and G.A. Lang. 2018. Abundance and distribution of benthic macroinvertebrates in the Lake Huron system: Saginaw Bay, 2006-2009, and Lake Huron, including Georgian Bay and North Channel, 2007 and 2012. NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-172, Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI, 54 pp. https://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/tech_reports/glerl-172/tm-172.pdf

Nalepa, T. F., L. E. Burlakova, A. K. Elgin, A. Y. Karatayev, G. A. Lang, K. Mehler, 2020. NOAA Tech Memo GLERL-175. Abundance and Biomass of Benthic Macroinvertebrates in Lake Michigan in 2015, With a Summary of

Temporal Trends. Tech. Memo, NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor MI. doi:10.25923/g0d3-3v41.

Owens, R. W. and D. E. Dittman. 2003. Shifts in the diets of slimy sculpin (*Cottus cognatus*) and lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) in Lake Ontario following the collapse of the burrowing amphipod *Diporeia*. Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management 6(3): 311–323. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/14634980301487

Rhude, K. S., and R. W. Sterner. 2023. *Diporeia* site preference in Lake Superior: Food or physical factors? Journal of Great Lakes Research 49(1): 268-275. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2022.11.008.

Scharold, J. V., S. J. Lozano, and T. D. Corry. 2009. Status of benthic macroinvertebrates in southern nearshore Lake Superior, 1994–2003. In: State of Lake Superior. Edited by M. Munawar and I. F. Munawar. Ecovision World Monograph Series, Aquatic Ecosystem Health and Management Society, Burlington, Ont, Canada. pp.473-492.

Scharold, J. V. and T. D. Corry. 2021. Status of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. in Lake Superior, 2006–2016. Journal of Great Lakes Research 47:1033-1039. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2021.04.013.

Schloesser, D.W., Griffiths, R., Burlakova, L.E., and Karatayev, A.Y. 2017. Benthos in Western Lake Erie 2014: Abundances and Distribution at 9 Stations in 1929-30, 1961, 1982, 1993, 2003, 2010, and 2014. Chapter 2. In: Lake Erie and Lake Michigan Benthos: Cooperative Science and Monitoring Initiative. Final Report. USGS-GLRI G14AC00263. Great Lakes Center, SUNY Buffalo State, Buffalo, NY. Available at: http://greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/sites/greatlakescenter.buffalostate.edu/files/uploads/Documents/Publications/LakeErieandMichiganBenthos_CSMI2014-2015_FinalReport.pdf

U.S. EPA Great Lakes National Program Office. 2024. EPA_GLBMP_Benthic Macroinvert Data 1998-2023_20240627_V2 [Microsoft Excel file]. Retrieved from https://cdx.epa.gov/

Watkins, J. M., R. Dermott, S. J. Lozano, E. L. Mills, L. G. Rudstam, and J. V. Scharold, 2007. Evidence for remote effects of dreissenid mussels on the amphipod *Diporeia*: Analysis of Lake Ontario benthic surveys, 1972–2003. Journal of Great Lakes Research 33: 642-657.

Watkins, J. M., L. G. Rudstam, D. L. Crabtree, and M. G. Walsh. 2013. Is reduced benthic flux related to the *Diporeia* decline? Analysis of spring blooms and whiting events in Lake Ontario. Journal of Great Lakes Research 39:395-403.

List of Figures

Figure 1. Mean density (number per square metre ± S.E.) of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. from EPA GLNPO 1998-2023 annual survey data. Lake Erie = orange diamonds, Lake Huron = red squares; Lake Michigan = blue triangles; Lake Ontario = closed black circles; and Lake Superior = open grey circles. The number of stations sampled is given in parentheses after each lake in the legend. The inset in the upper right shows details for low *Diporeia* densities in 2018-2023 in all lakes except Lake Superior; data labels report density values.

Data Source: Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University

Figure 2. Mean density (number per square metre ± S.E.) of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. from 19 historic stations in the U.S. nearshore waters of southern Lake Superior that were sampled in 1994, 2000, 2003, 2016, and 2022 (station depths: 18-110 m). The horizontal grey line indicates the GLWQA recommendation threshold of 220-320/m^2 in nearshore waters.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Mehler et al. 2018; Scharold et al. 2009; Burlakova et al. 2023; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA

Figure 3. Mean densities (number per square metre) of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. from in Lakes Michigan, Huron, and Ontario, 1990 – 2022. Data are from lake-wide surveys conducted mostly at 5-year intervals. Lake Michigan = blue triangles, long-dashed line; Lake Huron = red squares, short-dashed line; Lake Ontario = black circles, solid line. Upper panel: stations at 30-90 m depth, with horizontal grey lines indicating the GLWQA recommendation threshold of 220-320/m^2 in nearshore water. Lower panel: stations >90 m, with horizontal grey lines indicating the GLWQA recommendation threshold of 30-160/m^2 in offshore waters.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA; Nalepa et al. 2014, 2018, 2020; Dermott and Geminiuc 2003; Lozano et al. 2001; Watkins et al. 2007; Birkett et al. 2015; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Karatayev et al. 2020 and 2021b; Burlakova et al. 2021; Burlakova and Karatayev 2023; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA

Figure 4. *Diporeia* population density (number x 10^3 per square metre) declines in Lake Michigan, 1994/1995 – 2021. Small red dots indicate location of sampling sites. Areas with no data are greyed out.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA; Nalepa et al. 2014, 2020; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Burlakova and Karatayev 2023; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA

Figure 5. Mean densities (number per square metre) of *Diporeia* in southern Lake Michigan between 2010-2023, reported by depth: < 30 m = squares, solid line; 31-90 m = triangles, long-dashed line; and > 90 m = circles, short-dashed line. Data labels report density for all non-zero values close to the axis. Note that the axis scale is greatly reduced compared to Figures 2 and 3.

Data Source: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA

Figure 6. *Diporeia* population density (number x 10^3 per square metre) declines in Lake Huron, 2000 – 2022. Small red dots indicate location of sampling sites. Areas with no data are greyed out.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA; Nalepa et al. 2018; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Karatayev et al. 2020; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA

Last Updated

State of the Great Lakes 2025 Report



Figure 1. Mean density (number per square metre ± S.E.) of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. from EPA GLNPO 1998-2023 annual survey data. Lake Erie = orange diamonds, Lake Huron = red squares; Lake Michigan = blue triangles; Lake Ontario = closed black circles; and Lake Superior = open grey circles. The number of stations sampled is given in parentheses after each lake in the legend. The inset in the upper right shows details for low *Diporeia* densities in 2018-2023 in all lakes except Lake Superior; data labels report density values.

Data Source: Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University



Figure 2. Mean density (number per square metre ± S.E.) of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. from 19 historic stations in the U.S. nearshore waters of southern Lake Superior that were sampled in 1994, 2000, 2003, 2016, and 2022 (station depths: 18-110 m). The horizontal grey line indicates the GLWQA recommendation threshold of 220-320/m^2 in nearshore waters.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Mehler et al. 2018; Scharold et al. 2009; Burlakova et al. 2023; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA



Figure 3. Mean densities (number per square metre) of the amphipod *Diporeia* spp. from in Lakes Michigan, Huron, and Ontario, 1990 – 2022. Data are from lake-wide surveys conducted mostly at 5-year intervals. Lake Michigan = blue triangles, long-dashed line; Lake Huron = red squares, short-dashed line; Lake Ontario = black circles, solid line. Upper panel: stations at 30-90 m depth, with horizontal grey lines indicating the GLWQA recommendation threshold of 220-320/m^2 in nearshore water. Lower panel: stations >90 m, with horizontal grey lines indicating the GLWQA recommendation threshold of 30-160/m^2 in offshore waters.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA; Nalepa et al. 2014, 2018, 2020; Dermott and Geminiuc 2003; Lozano et al. 2001; Watkins et al. 2007; Birkett et al. 2015; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Karatayev et al. 2020 and 2021b; Burlakova et al. 2021; Burlakova and Karatayev 2023; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA



Figure 4. *Diporeia* population density (number x 10^3 per square metre) declines in Lake Michigan, 1994/1995 – 2021. Small red dots indicate location of sampling sites. Areas with no data are greyed out.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA; Nalepa et al. 2014, 2020; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Burlakova and Karatayev 2023; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA



Figure 5. Mean densities (number per square metre) of *Diporeia* in southern Lake Michigan between 2010-2023, reported by depth: < 30 m = squares, solid line; 31-90 m = triangles, long-dashed line; and > 90 m = circles, short-dashed line. Data labels report density for all non-zero values close to the axis. Note that the axis scale is greatly reduced compared to Figures 2 and 3.

Data Source: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA



Figure 6. *Diporeia* population density (number x 10^3 per square metre) declines in Lake Huron, 2000 – 2022. Small red dots indicate location of sampling sites. Areas with no data are greyed out.

Data Sources: Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab, NOAA; Nalepa et al. 2018; Great Lakes Center, Buffalo State University; Karatayev et al. 2020; Karatayev and Burlakova 2024; Great Lakes National Program Office, US EPA